

Question: *We have one additional question arising out of our discussion today. It is somewhat general. Suppose that the tree-diagram values of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are just the standard model values and that the real interesting physics is in the penguin diagrams (this may be what one will conclude from the B factories by the time you run. Note that in Jeff Richman's talk he has a plot of what would be beta in the standard model measured from the  $b$  to  $s$  penguin and it differs from expectations if you average many modes). What would BTeV be able to add (for example can you measure the weak phases of the  $b$  to  $s$  and  $b$  to  $d$  penguins?).*

We don't agree with the premise you stated below that says the B factories will have measurements of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  by the time of BTeV. There clearly will be some limited information on  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  but it will not be definitive, I don't think either one will be measured below 10 degrees. (And you didn't mention  $\chi$ .) In  $\alpha$  for example, we just sent you a note on  $B \rightarrow \rho\rho$ . One additional point is that the current limit on the Penguin phase is 13 degrees and that gets better as the bound on  $B \rightarrow \rho^0\rho^0$  improves but only by the square root of the branching ratio, so that will take a lot of data. Of course if a rate is measured then the bound will not improve.

We do agree that measuring the phases in the Penguin modes is important. I have attached the response to your first question in the original review. On page 24 and 27 we discuss our ability to measure the phases in  $B \rightarrow \phi K_s$  and  $B \rightarrow \phi K^-$ . They are excellent, far exceeding the B factories. Of course we can do other modes. Another example that will be very interesting is  $B_s \rightarrow \phi \eta^{(\prime)}$ , a mode unique to BTeV. Here, we are measuring the  $b \rightarrow sss$  Penguin phase relative to  $\chi$  rather than  $\beta$ .

The above example takes care of  $b \rightarrow s$  penguins. The case of  $b \rightarrow d$  penguins is rather more difficult in purely hadronic modes. We can do CP violation in the exclusive modes  $B^0 \rightarrow \rho\gamma$  and  $B^- \rightarrow \rho^- \gamma$  versus  $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \gamma$ ,  $B_s \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ , but since the  $K^* \rightarrow K \pi$  is a flavor tag the mixing part would be missing, which is good. Also  $B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$  versus  $B^- \rightarrow \pi^- \ell^+ \ell^-$ . There are analogous cases for the  $b \rightarrow s$  Penguins as well,  $B_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma$  being an excellent example.

I would like to add to our answer to your second question. There are it turns out three modes which are unique hadronic  $b \rightarrow d$  penguins that cannot also come from tree level diagrams and are CP eigenstates. The modes occur when an  $s$ -quark anti- $s$  quark pair is popped from the vacuum. Thus  $B^0 \rightarrow K_s K_s$  and  $B_s \rightarrow \phi K_s$  fit this category. In addition the charged mode  $B^+ \rightarrow K_s K^+$  is also pure  $b \rightarrow d$  penguin.

All three of these modes can be used in BTeV to measure the  $b \rightarrow d$  penguin phase should the branching ratios be large enough. The efficiencies and backgrounds for  $B_d \rightarrow \phi K_s$  are given in our answer to question 1. The same would roughly apply to the  $B_s$  mode. In the  $B_d$  mode we expect about 2000 events in  $2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  with a Signal/background of 5.2:1. In the  $B_s$  mode we would expect 1/4 just do the fact that  $B_s$  production is less than  $B_d$  production. The branching ratio, of course, is very interesting as new physics could raise in. Without new physics we expect it would decrease by about a factor of 10, giving roughly 50 events with S/B of 1:2. This is good enough to get the branching ratio in a few years of running. CP violation will take longer.

For  $B^+ \rightarrow K_s K^+$ , we can view our simulation of  $B^+ \rightarrow K_s \pi^+$ . Here we found we were about half as efficient as in  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s$ , mainly due to the trigger. Thus considering the excellent particle identification, we should be able to measure the branching ratio in this mode if it is Standard Model.

The mode  $B^0 \rightarrow K_s K_s$  will require more study for us to conclude how well we can measure it. We would have to concentrate on events where both  $K_s$  were found in the pixel detector in order to measure the B decay vertex. In general 1/2 of the  $K_s$  are found in the pixel detector for  $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s$ , so this look very possible, but we wouldn't want to state it before we did the simulation.

In summary, the situation is more hopeful for  $b \rightarrow d$  penguin phases than we thought.